This article was downloaded by: On: 15 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

Chemistry and Ecology

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713455114>

Concurrent Chemical and Histological Analyses: Are They Compatible?

J. L. Sericano^a; T. L. Wade^a; E. N. Powell^b; J. M. Brooks^a a Geochemical and Environmental Research Group (GERG), College of Geosciences and Maritime Studies, Texas A&M University, Texas **b** Department of Oceanography, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas

To cite this Article Sericano, J. L. , Wade, T. L. , Powell, E. N. and Brooks, J. M.(1993) 'Concurrent Chemical and Histological Analyses: Are They Compatible?', Chemistry and Ecology, 8: 1, 41 — 47 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/02757549308035299 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02757549308035299>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

CONCURRENT CHEMICAL AND HISTOLOGICAL ANALYSES: ARE THEY COMPATIBLE?

J.L. SERICANO*, T.L. WADE*, E.N. POWELL** and J.M. BROOKS*

Geochemical and Environmental Research Group (GERG), College of Geosciences and Maritime Studies, Texas A&M University, 833 Graham Rd, College Station, Texas 77845, Department of Oceanography, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77840***

(Received 7 August 1992; revised form 14 September 1992)

Bivalves are often used as sentinel organisms in monitoring programmes for trace organic contaminants. The animal's physiological state may be important in interpreting trends in contaminant body burden. Simultaneous evaluation of physiological state and organic contaminant concentration in bivalves typically involves removal of a lipid-rich cross-section of the body mass for histopathological and/or gonadal analysis.

In this study. the bias introduced by this technique in the final trace organic concentrations, e.g. of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, chlorinated pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls, are evaluated on five different size groups of oysters. **As** a test case, we evaluated the use of this method in the **NOAA's** Status & Trends Mussel Watch (NS&T) Programme. The average biases introduced by this technique in the final trace organic concentrations in Gulf of Mexico oysters have been increasing since **1986** as a consequence of a continuous decrease in the size of the individuals sampled.

KEY WORDS Bivalves, oysters, trace organics, trends, Gulf of Mexico

INTRODUCTION

Seasonal variations in organic contaminant concentrations in bivalves have been attributed to a number of different factors including the stage of the reproductive cycle, nutritional status and ambient temperature (Wormell, 1979; Neff and Anderson, 1981 ; Jovanovich and Marion, 1987). Several studies have indicated the importance of considering the bivalve's physiological state when measuring contaminant loads (Fossato and Canzonier, 1976; Boehm and Quinn, 1977; Mix and Schaffer, 1979; Lunsford and Blem, 1982; Widdows *et al.,* 1982; Jovanovich and Marion, 1987). Monitoring programmes that use bivalves as sentinel organisms typically try to assess some of these problems. In NOAA's National Status and Trends Mussel Watch (NS&T) Programme, for example, reproductive state, condition index and disease incidence in oyster samples from the Gulf of Mexico have been monitored since 1986 (e.g. Craig *et al.,* 1989; Wilson *et al.,* submitted).

One aspect of the problem involves the determination of the reproductive state, which typically requires a histological analysis in most bivalves (e.g. Morales-Alamo and Mann, 1989). In some cases, where the seasonal variability in contaminant concentrations in bivalves was followed in relation to their reproductive cycle, the chemical and biological analyses were performed on two different groups of individuals collected at the same site (e.g. Jovanovich and Marion, 1987). Since the reproductive state of bivalves may vary considerably among individuals at certain times of the year (e.g. Wilson *et al.,* submitted), adequate comparison requires a large sample size and the approach necessarily restricts statistical analysis.

An alternative approach is to take a cross-section of tissue from the same individual that is used for trace organic analyses. Bivalves where the gonadal material is in the mantle, such as mussels (Bullogh, 1970), present only a minor problem; but oysters, where the gonadal tissue surrounds the visceral mass (Morales-Alamo and Mann, 1989), require removal of a tissue cross-section that may be rich in trace organic contaminants. Any additional histopathological analysis would, of course, require removal of a larger tissue cross-section in either species.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the bias in the final organic contaminant concentrations introduced by the selective removal of a tissue cross-section for histopathological or gonadal analysis. Five groups of oysters, *Crassostrea virginica,* of different average sizes were dissected and the portions normally used for histopathological and trace organic analysis were separately analyzed for selected polynuclear aromatic and chlorinated hydrocarbons to evaluate this bias.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Oysters were collected from Galveston Bay, Texas, near the Houston Ship Channel in December 1988. This area is one of the 71 sites that was sampled during the NS&T Programme in the Gulf of Mexico (Sericano *et d.,* 1990). The site, Galveston Bay Ship Channel (GBSC), is located at the mouth of Goose Creek in Tabbs Bay. Immediately after collection, the oysters were transported to the laboratory and sorted into five different size groups. **A** cross-section of the body of the oysters was separated by first making a transverse cut where the palps and gills meet. **A** second parallel cut was made about 5 mm from the first cut toward the centre of the organism. This cross-section contained portions of gonad, stomach, intestine, digestive diverticula and connective tissue as well as mantle and gill. In standard practice, 3 to *5* mm sections are cut for histological analysis; consequently, the 5 mm cross-section would represent a maximum estimate of any bias incurred. The crosssection and remaining body tissues from oysters within each size group were pooled into two separated samples and analyzed for PAHs, chlorinated pesticides and PCBs. The methods used to measure the analyte concentrations were fully described elsewhere (e.g. Sericano *et al.,* 1990).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Average lengths of the five different groups of oysters used in this study ranged from 6.1 to 9.5 cm (Table 1). Also shown are the mean percent contribution on a dry weight basis of the cross-section and remaining body tissues *to* the total body mass, and the percentage of extractable lipids corresponding to each of these fractions.

In general, the concentrations of **PAHs,** pesticides and PCBs measured in oysters are similar in each of the five size groups when the same subsamples, cross-section (A) or remaining body tissues (B), are compared (Table 2). In contrast, the trace organic concentrations of the two subsamples differ substantially in all five size classes. The cross-section (A) is the portion that normally would have been used for histological analysis. Since aromatic and chlorinated hydrocarbons are hydrophobic, they tend to be associated with lipid-rich tissues. This could in part explain the higher concentrations measured in the cross-section tissues which contain between 35 to 50% more extractable lipid than the remaining body tissues (Table 1).

Oyster <i>Size</i>	n	Shell Length (cm)	Cross-Section Tissues		Remaining Body Tissues	
			Dry Weight (%)	Lipids (%)	Dry Weight (%)	Lipids (%)
I	8	9.5 ± 0.8	15.6 ± 2.7	14.2	84.4 ± 2.7	9.6
H	8	9.3 ± 0.9	17.0 ± 1.9	13.3	83.0 ± 1.9	9.0
Ш	8	8.5 ± 1.4	18.5 ± 3.0	12.7	81.5 ± 3.0	8.9
IV	14	6.7 ± 0.9	22.2 ± 3.4	14.9	77.8 ± 3.4	10.2
V	14	6.1 ± 0.6	22.5 ± 2.7	14.5	77.5 ± 2.7	10.9

Table 1 Average shell length and percent contribution of the cross-section and remaining body tissues to the total body weight corresponding to the five groups of oysters analyzed. Lipid percentages for each fraction are also indicated.

The removal of the tissue cross-sections from the sample analyzed for trace organic compounds will introduce a bias towards lower total concentrations in the sample. The magnitude of this bias will largely depend on the sizes of the oysters sampled. In this study, the tissue cross-sections accounted for about 15% of the tissue dry weight in a 9 cm oyster, but for nearly 23% in a 6 cm oyster (Table 1). Accordingly, in large oysters, a proportionally smaller fraction is used for biological assays whereas, in smaller oysters, a *5* mm cross-section represents the removal of a comparatively large fraction of the total body mass. In the extreme, the cross-section of a very small specimen may include all of the tissues, from where the palps and gills meet, to the adductor muscle which removes most of the lipid-rich internal organs.

The concentrations of PAHs, chlorinated pesticides and PCBs can be corrected for the contribution to the total body burden of the cross-section removed for histology. The differences between the uncorrected concentrations, which represent the values that would normally be reported, and corrected concentrations for each of the oyster groups are shown in Figure 1. As expected, the biases in the individual concentrations of trace organic compounds increase as the oyster sizes decrease. Average biases are 6.1 ± 2.0 , 8.8 ± 2.4 , 10.5 ± 2.7 , 14.0 ± 2.6 , and 14.3 ± 2.4 % for PAHs, 10.5 ± 2.1 , 11.7 ± 0.7 , 13.3 ± 1.0 , 13.9 ± 0.8 and 16.8 ± 1.5 for pesticides, and 6.3 ± 0.9 , 8.9 ± 1.7 , 10.9 ± 1.2 , 13.3 ± 1.4 and 13.9 ± 0.9 for PCBs in oyster groups I to V, respectively.

As an example for this study, we consider the NS&T programme in the Gulf of Mexico. In this programme, oysters are used as sentinel organisms to monitor the current status and long-term trends of selected organic and inorganic environmental contaminants along the Atlantic, Pacific and Gulf coasts of the United Slates. In 1986, the overall average oyster size collected for the Gulf of Mexico portion of the NOAA's S&T Programme was 8.5±1.4 cm (Brooks *et al.,* 1987) (Figure 2). During the following sampling years there was a continuous decrease in the sizes of the oysters that were sampled. In 1987, the average oyster size for the Gulf of Mexico was 7.6 ± 1.8 cm (Brooks *et al.,* 1988); in 1988, the average size was 7.2 ± 1.4 cm (Brooks **ef** *al.,* 1989); and in 1989, it was 7.0k1.3 cm (Brooks **ef** *al.,* 1990).

Wilson *et al.* (submitted) discuss the possible reasons for this decline in the sizes of the sampled oysters and concluded that the trend toward smaller sizes was probably a manifestation of decreased population health. For our purposes, this downward trend could introduce a bias in the trace organic values.

The bias imposed by the continuous decrease in oyster sizes with the successive sampling years in the observed PAH concentrations in the Gulf of Mexico can be estimated from the regression lines in Figure 1. Assuming that the cross-section was *5* mm in each case, the average percent biases in PAH concentrations that were

Figure 1 Percent differences between corrected and remaining body concentrations of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons **(A),** selected PCB congeners (B) and chlorinated pesticides (C) versus oyster size.

Figure 2 Size distributions of **oysters sampled** in **the Gulf** of **Mexico during the NOAA's S&T Program between** 1986 **and** 1989.

reported for 1986,1987,1988 and 1989 can be estimated as9.7,11.7,12.5 and 13.0%, respectively. Similarly, average percent biases for chlorinated pesticides and PCBs can be estimated as 12.6, 13.8, 14.4, and 14.7% and 9.7, 11.4, 12.2 and 12.6%, respectively. However, under the protocol used for the NS&T programme, a crosssection of tissue is removed from only 10 of the 20 oysters collected per sampling station. Thus, the estimated bias for each group of analytes would be about half of these values.

In order to avoid misleading interpretations of comparative spatial and temporal data, it is imperative to understand how the methodology affects the trace organic concentration measurements in bivalves. This understanding is of particular importance if tissue cross sections are removed for histological analysis and it is especially important at sites where considerable variability exists in the sizes of the individuals sampled over the years and in cases where smaller organisms must be used. The development of non-histologically based gonadal indices (e.g. Choi *et al.,* 1989; 1990) offers one way to avoid this problem.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, contract No. 50-DGNC-5-00262, through the Texas A&M Research Foundation, Texas **A&M** University.

Downloaded At: 14:22 15 January 2011 Downloaded At: 14:22 15 January 2011 Table 2 Cross-section and remaining body PAH, pesticide and PCB concentrations, ng g⁻¹, measured in the five different groups of oysters. Average concentrations for each analyte in the subsamples and percent differences concentrations for each analyte in the subsamples and percent differences are also listed. Cross-section and remaining body PAH, pesticide and PCB concentrations, ng **g-',** measured in the five different groups of oysters. Average

 $A = Cross-section T issues$
B = Remaining body Tissues **B** = **Remaining body Tissues**

References

- Boehm, P.D. and Quinn, J.G. (1977) The persistence of chronically accumulated hydrocarbons in the hard shell clam, *Rangia cuneata. Marine Biology*, 44, 227-233.
- Brooks, J.M., Wade, T.L., Atlas, E.L., Kennicutt **11.** M.C., Presley, B.J., Fay, R.R., Powell, E.N. and Wolff, G. (1987) *Analyses of bivalves and sediments for organic chemical and trace elements from Gulf* of *Mexico estuaries.* Annual Report, Geochemical and Environmental Research Group, College of Geosciences, Texas A&M University, TX, 618 pp.
- Brooks, J.M., Wade, T.L., Atlas, E.L., Kennicutt **11,** M.C., Presley, B.J., Fay, R.R., Powell, E.N. and Wolff, G. (1988) *Analyses of bivalves and sediments for organic chemical and trace elements from Gulf of Mexico estuaries.* Annual Report, Geochemical and Environmental Research Group, College of Geosciences, Texas A&M University, TX, 644 pp.
- Brooks, J.M., Wade, T.L., Atlas, E.L., Kennicutt **11,** M.C., Presley, B.J., Fay, R.R., Powell, E.N. and Wolff, G. (1989) *Analyses of bivalves and sediments for organic chemical and trace elements from Gulf of Mexico estuaries.* Annual Report, Geochemical and Environmental Research Group, College of Geosciences, Texas A&M University, TX, 678 pp.
- Brooks, **J.M.,** Wade, T.L., Atlas, E.L., Kennicutt **11,** M.C., Presley, B.J., Fay, R.R., Powell, E.N. and Wolff, G. (1990) *Analyses of bivalves and sediments for organic chemical and trace elements from Gulf of Mexico estuaries.* Annual Report, Geochemical and Environmental Research Group, College of Geosciences, Texas A&M University, TX.
- Bullogh, **W.S.** (1970) *Practical Invertebrate Anatomy.* Macmillan and Co. Ltd., London, 483 pp.
- Choi, K-S, Wilson, E.A., Lewis, D.H., Powell, E.N. and Ray, **S.M.** (1989) The energetic cost of *Perkinsus marinus* parasitism in oysters: quantification of the thioglycolate method. *Journal of Shellfisheries Research,* 8,125-131.
- Choi, K-S, Lewis, D.H. and Powell, E.N. (1990) Quantitative evaluation of gonadal proteins in male and female oysters *(Crassostrea virginica)* using an immunological technique. *Journal of Shellfisheries Research,* 8,431 (abstract).
- Craig, A,, Powell, E.N., Fay, R.R. and Brooks, J.M. (1989) Distribution of *Perkinsus marinus* in Gulf Coast oyster populations. *Estuaries,* 12,82-91.
- Fossato, V.U. and Canzonier, **W.J.** (1976) Hydrocarbon uptake and loss by the mussel *Myfilus edulis. Marine Biology,* 36,243-250.
- Jovanovich, M.C. and Marion, K.R. (1987) Seasonal variation in uptake and depuration of anthracene by the brackish water clam *Rangia cuneata. Marine Biology,* 95,395-403.
- Lunsford, C.A. and Blem, C.R. (1982) Annual cycle of kepone residue and lipid content of the estuarine clam, *Rangia cuneata. Estuaries,* 5, 121-130.
- Mix, M.C. and Schaffer, R.L. (1979) Benzo(a)pyrene concentrations in mussels *(Mytilus edulis)* from Yaquima Bay, Oregon, during June 1976-May 1978. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology,* 23,667-684.
- Morales-Alamo, R. and Mann, R. (1989) Anatomical features in histological sections of *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin, 1971) as an aid in measurement of gonad area for reproductive assessment. *Journal of Shellfisheries Research*, 8, 71-82.
- Neff, J.M. and Anderson, J.W. (1981) *Response of marine animals to petroleum and specific petroleum hydrocarbons.* Applied Science Publishers Ltd, London, 177 pp.
- Sericano, J.L., Atlas, E.L., Wade, T.L. and Brooks, J.M. (1990) NOAA's Status and Trends Mussel Watch Program: Chlorinated pesticides and PCBs in oysters *(Crassostrea virginica)* and sediments from the Gulf of Mexico, 1986-1987. *Marine Environmental Research,* 29, 161-203.
- Widdows, J., Bakke, T., Bayne, B.L., Donkin, P., Livingstone, D.R., Lowe, D.M., Moore, M.N., Evans, **S.V.** and Moore, S.L. (1982) Responses of *Mytilus edulis* on the exposure to the wateraccommodate fraction of North Sea oil. *Marine Biology,* 67, 15-31.
- Wilson, E.A., Powell, E.N., Wade, T.L., Taylor, R.J., Presley, B.J. and Brooks, J.M. Spatial and temporal distributions of contaminant body burden and disease in Gulf of Mexico oyster populations: The role of local and large-scale climatic controls. *Helgol. Meeresunters* (submitted).
- Wormell, R.L. (1979) Petroleum hydrocarbon accumulation patterns in *Crassostrea virginica:* analyses and interpretations. Ph.D. Dissertation, Rutgers University, The State University of New Jersey (New Brunswick), 189 pp.